Task	1996	1997 (Jan-Aug) (1997 Report)	1997 (Jan-Dec) (1998 Report)	1998 (Jan-Dec) (1999 Report)	1999 (Jan-Dec) (2000 Report)	2000 (Jan-Dec) (2001 Report)	2001 (Jan-Dec) (2002 Report)	2002 (Jan-Dec) (2003 Report)	2003 (Jan-Dec) (2004 Report)	2004 (Jan-Dec) 2005 Report	2005 (Jan-Dec) 2006 Report
MAINTAIN DRAINAGE FACILITIES (INVENTORY)											
curb & gutter lineal feet(Roads)	6,280,889	5,315,105	5,315,105	3,878,642	3,668,024	3,441,640	3,459,334	3,413,517	3,421,345	3,445,244	3,457,399
catch basins (Roads)	54,779	32,808	32,808	33,452	31,902	30,377	30,389	30,490	30,695	31,236	31,292
 paved ditch lineal feet (Roads) 	148,617	169,302	169,302	112,691	96,901	92,438	90,258	88,236	88,611	81,428	79,044
open ditch lineal feet (Roads)	8,226,256	7,838,016	7,838,016	7,116,780	7,087,728	6,547,000	6,530,503	6,538,337	6,648,285	6,603765	6,493,401
 cross culverts (Roads) & access tiles (duplicated numbers in previous budget) cross culverts = 24,517 	62,723	47,381	47,381	46,185	45,131	41,210	41,049	40,951	41,246	41,470	41,398
bridges (Roads)	223	195	195	185	184	179	177	178	187	187	183
mowable slopes pass miles(Roads)	4,291	4,779	4,779	4,163.2	4,136	3,936	3,971	3,963	4,254	4,451	4,509
• residential R/D facilities (WLRD)	1,196	1,466 (incl. City contracts)	1371	1371	1371	393	1536	1593	1612	1654	1,731
 commercial & multifamily R/D facilities (WLRD) 	1,455	1,427 (incl. City contracts)	1139	1136	1136	1137	1167	1261	1303	1326	1,535
 commercial & multifamily conveyance- only facilities (WLRD) 	300	325	390	420	460	458	463	474	478	490	508
• regional R/D facilities (WLRD)	124	118	131	170	180	186	190	195	199	214	222
MAINTAIN DRAINAGE FACILITIES (MAINTENANCE)											
ALL TYPES OF FACILITIES											
Apply K.C. Ord 5824, Sections 7B, 9A, & 9D (R/D maintenance)	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Apply SWDM standards to new County BMP facilities	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Investigate all R/D complaints	yes	1260 drainage complaints, 150 R/D related	YTD 180 incl. 18 R/D related	940 drainage complaints, 217 R/D related	918 drainage complaints, 256 R/D related	531 incl. 176 R/D related	878, 142 R/D related	742, 207 facility related	577, 177 Facility related	539, 154 facility related	386, 118 facility related
Investigate and prioritize drainage problems RESIDENTIAL R/D		60 corrective WOs issued		65 corrective wo's issued	50 corrective WO's issued	34 corrective WO's issued	40 corrective WO's issued	101 corrective WO's issued	63-corrective WQ's issued	116 corrective WO's issued	48 corrective WO's issued
Inspect new residential systems for maintenance needs during first 2 years after construction @ 4x/yr	yes	65 facilities	70 facilities	80 facilities	80 facilities	95 facilities	130 facilities	107	163 facilities	526 inspections of about 131.5 facilities	446 inspections of about 143 facilities
Evaluate need of each existing residential R/D w/MIS for assessment	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Yes
Schedule R/D assessments considering seasonal workload ("phased assessments")		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Yes
COMMERCIAL FACILITIES							•				
Inspect conveyance-only commercial drainage systems	Yes (0.9 FTE)	Yes (0.9 FTE)	yes (0.9 FTE)	new facilities only (30)	new facilities only (30)	Program discontinued for CY 2000	Program discontinued for CY 2001	Program discontinued for CY 2002	Program discontinued for CY 2003	Program discontinued	Program discontinued
Use MIS to inventory facilities and organize work program (includes laptop hookups for field technicians)	yes	yes	yes	yes	Yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Yes
Self-inspection & maintenance of privately owned commercial and multifamily R/D facilities	yes	904 in program	907	900 in program	No (County inspected 1136 facilities, incl. City contracts)	No self- inspection. (County inspected 1136 facilities, incl. City contracts.)	No self inspection. (County inspected 1167 facilities incl. City contracts.)	No self inspection (County inspected 1240 facilities incl. City contracts.	No-self inspection- (County inspected 1303 facilities incl City contracts	No-self inspection- (County inspected 1354 facilities incl City contracts	394 (1/2 of county facilities were inspected anc ½ given selfinspection packages)
REGIONAL R/D			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
Annual inspections of regional R/D	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes

Task	1996	1997 (Jan-Aug) (1997 Report)	1997 (Jan-Dec) (1998 Report)	1998 (Jan-Dec) (1999 Report)	1999 (Jan-Dec) (2000 Report)	2000 (Jan-Dec) (2001 Report)	2001 (Jan-Dec) (2002 Report)	2002 (Jan-Dec) (2003 Report)	2003 (Jan-Dec) (2004 Report)	2004 (Jan-Dec) 2005 Report	2005 (Jan-Dec) 2006 Report
Inspect regional R/D facilities needing frequent maintenance before anticipated storms and after all major storms	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
FACILITIES WITHIN ROAD RIGHTS-OF-WAY											
Routine Maintenance ¹		Proposed	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
curb & gutter (Roads)	11,186 linear ft	559	1,274	1,960	1,697	1,556	1,336	3,127	2,863	3,770	1,916
hand ditching (Roads)	1,558 linear ft	5,487	1,487	638	1,674	552	2,181	1,230	1,953	3,941	5,174
drainage tile (Roads)	15,427 linear ft	6,070	25,826	20,255	13,115	5,628	8,716	9,312	5,178	12,547	7,412
 vactor catch basin/manhole (Roads) 	7,646	8,599	5,775	3,399	2,664	5,029	3,279	2,717	3,217	2,052	14,876
 blade ditching/ shoulder pulling (Roads) 	750,010 linear ft	556,041	637,592	742,303	342,510	629,010	706,169	885,932	529,990	285,314	1,038,508
clean drain systems—equip (Roads)	126,003 linear ft	93,378	125,435	94,662	72,605	159,444	200,567	87,173	58,981	54,435	53,841
• clean drain systems—hand (Roads)	6,958	9,211	4,698	5,345	3,253	1,285	3,293	4,059	4,715	4,624	3,770
drainage preparation (Roads)	942 man hours	1,105	523	248	519	261	408	522	660	302	466
repair catch basins (I & II)(Roads)	579	164	435	11,056	212	163	211	49	138	69	203
repair headers/trash rack (Roads)	183	166	94	20	24	4	7	20	28	19	6
bucket ditching (Roads)	239,532 linear ft	272,763	273,729	196,381	63,544	56,444	142,208	120,859	137,807	60,072	139,534
 replace catch basins (I & II)(Roads) 	133	95	174	844	124	49	84	94	58	168	113
 replace catch basin lids (Roads) 	208	161	172	164	191	139	100	213	153	132	57
hydroseeding (Roads)	65,550 square yds	219,464	19,394	15,919	12,135	21,417	22,835	54,385	24,600	26,550	12,250
ditchmaster ditch clean (Roads)	228,870 linear ft	228,870	0	14,828	0	1,724	0	0	0	0	0
IMPROVEMENTS TO EXISTING ROAD DRAINAGE SYSTEM											
linear feet of pipe		14,419	6,874	8,516	5,615	11,743	10,130	8,428	7,059		9716
new catch basins		203	107	116	102	166	135	143	124		140
• \$'s of erosion control			N/A	\$256,052	\$181,749	\$438,639	\$73,995	\$82,936	60,896		\$51,127
REMOVE SNOW AND ICE FROM ROADS											
Use salt and sand combination		8,984	6,502	16,897	6,505	11,319	9,965	22,407	13,187		24,155
BMPs to minimize water quality impacts (sweep sand off road ASAP after storm)	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
USE ROADS MAINTENANCE BMPS											
Finish BMP manual for K.C. Road Maintenance Operations Evaluate BMPs for cost-effectiveness	no	yes (revisions on-going based on testing & cost)			Developing Tri- County Road Maintenance Manual. After Tri-County Manual Developed, King County Will use Tri-County as minimum requirements.	Plan to adopt BMP Manual as revised in the tri- county negotiations for protection of Salmon and bull trout this year. (Timing is uncertain.) Yes – ongoing	Regional Road Maintenance ESA Program Guidelines currently published in the Federal Register with Public comment closed 2/25/02 Yes – ongoing	Regional Road Maintenance ESA Program Guidelines currently published in the Federal Register with Public comments currently being added. Yes – ongoing	Regional Road Maintenance ESA Program Guidelines has been approved. The Biological Opinion was received on August 5, 2003 by NMFS.	Regional Road Maintenance ESA Program Guidelines has been approved. Program being updated thru adaptive management and BMP Case Studies. Yes – ongoing	Regional Road Maintenance ESA Program Guidelines has been approved. Program being updated thru adaptive management and BMP Case Studies. Yes – ongoing

¹ Figures in this section and those that follow do not include city contracts. Figures for 1996 submitted with 1997 report and for 1997 with the 1998 report. no partial year data available. Page 2

TASK Implement BMPs for ESC on Road's projects	1996	1997 (Jan-Aug) (1997 Report) full implementation for CIPs; partial implementation for maintenance (need 10% budget increase for full implementation)	1997 (Jan-Dec) (1998 Report)	1998 (Jan-Dec) (1999 Report) yes	1999 (Jan-Dec) (2000 Report) Environmental Supervisor position created. to provide field support and supervises BMP Implementation on Maintenance Projects.	2000 (Jan-Dec) (2001 Report) Environmental Supervisor filled. Provides field support and supervises BMP Implementation on Maintenance Projects.	2001 (Jan-Dec) (2002 Report) Environmental Supervisor filled. Provides field support and supervises BMP Implementation on Maintenance Projects.	2002 (Jan-Dec) (2003 Report) Environmental Supervisor filled. Provides field support and supervises BMP Implementation on Maintenance Projects.	2003 (Jan-Dec) (2004 Report) Environmental Supervisor and Environmental Unit Staff Provide Field Support and Supervises BMP Implementation on Maintenance Projects.	2004 (Jan-Dec) 2005 Report Site Development Specialist I and Environmental Unit Staff Provide Field Support and Supervises BMP Implementation on Maintenance Projects.	2005 (Jan-Dec) 2006 Report Site Development Specialist I and Environmental Unit Staff Provide Field Support and Supervises BMP Implementation on Maintenance Projects.
MAP STORMWATER DRAINAGE FACILITIES											
Map facilities in public road rights-of-way		(see map - faci- lities completed)	continuing	continuing	continuing	continuing	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Work with WLRD on complete connectivity between right-of-way and off-right-of-way drainage systems. [WLRD withdrew from the mapping program in 1995.] Develop GIS macro for mapping R/D		near completion	no in progress	no in progress	no in progress	no in progress	no Complete	Reinstated Nov 2002 Complete	Continuing Complete	Continuing Complete	Continuing Complete
facilities and complaints		·		, 5	, ,	, ,	·	·	·	·	·
DISPOSE OF VACTOR WASTE											
Provide a network of vactor waste receiving stations for public & private sector Improve disposal process:	none	1 liquid-only for KC gov't users in Shoreline 1 liquid and solid for all w/ GRCC training opens in Renton in Oct. if Council agrees on rate structure. Delayed due to flood repairs.		2 liquid and solid construction in 98 open in 1999 1 liquid and solid for all w/ training opened in Renton in July.	2 stations to open mid 1999 one in south and one in north.	North end site, Bruggers Bog, to open in the summer. Includes disposal of solids and liquids. South end site, Star Lake, to open in 2001. Delay due to permit issues with municipalities.	 North End station, Bruggers Bog and Renton Station open. Includes disposal of solids and liquids. South end site, Star Lake, to open in 2002. Delay due to permit issues with municipalities. 		South end site, Star Lake, opened to KC and WSDOT use only in late March of 2002. No plan to open to any other users. Includes disposal of solids and liquids. Preliminary Feasibility Studies Conducted on Redmond Site.	2 stations (Renton Decant Station and Brugger's Bog Decant Station) continue to operate open to private companies and public agencies. Feasibility Studies continue on Redmond Site. Star Lake, opened to KC and WSDOT use only	2 stations (Renton Decant Station and Brugger's Bog Decant Station) continue to operate open to private companies and public agencies. Feasibility Studies continue on Redmond Site. Star Lake, opened to KC and WSDOT use only.

		4007	4007	4000	1000	2000	2004	2002	2002	2004	2005
Taoy	1996	1997	1997	1998 (Jan Doo)	1999 (Jan Doo)	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
TASK	1996	(Jan-Aug)	(Jan-Dec)	(Jan-Dec)	(Jan-Dec)	(Jan-Dec)	(Jan-Dec) (2002		(Jan-Dec)	(Jan-Dec)	(Jan-Dec)
		(1997 Report)	(1998 Report)	(1999 Report)	(2000 Report)	(2001 Report)	Report)	Report)	(2004 Report)	2005 Report	2006 Report
solids to vendors who recycle and reuse	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Street Waste	Street Waste	Street Waste
end product									Materials	Materials	Materials
									Screened,	Screened,	Screened,
									Bioremediated	Bioremediated	Bioremediated
									and Tested for	and Tested for	and Tested for
									Contaminants.	Contaminants.	Contaminants. Clean soils
									Clean soils used in Roads	Clean soils used in Roads	used in Roads
										Mine	Mine
									Mine Reclamation	Reclamation	Reclamation
									Projects.	Projects.	Projects.
									Contaminated	Contaminated	Contaminated
									Materials	Materials	Materials
									Consigned to	Consigned to	Consigned to
									Contractors for	Contractors for	Contractors for
									Thermal	Thermal	Thermal
									Desorption or	Desorption or	Desorption or
									Bioremediation	Bioremediation	Bioremediation.
									Diorentediation	Diorentediation	Dioremediation.
portable water treatment plant for	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Continuing	Discontinued	No. No sewer	Portable	•	Decant Liquids
remote sites where sewer	,00	, , ,	,,,,	, , ,	, , ,	Continuing	with the	connection at	Treatment		from Star Lake
connections are not cost-effective							opening of the	Star Lake.	Plant Sold.		Station, and
Confidencial are not deat encouve							North End	Liquid is	Bog and		Cadman and
							station and the	transported by	Renton Station		Summit Ponds
							anticipated	6,000 gal.	Connected to		transported by
							opening of the	wastewater	Sewer. Decant		6,000 gal.
							south end	tanker to KC	Liquids from		Wastewater
							station.	Renton Decant	Star Lake		Tanker to
								station for	Station, and		Renton Decant
								disposal.	Cadman and		station for
								•	Summit Ponds		disposal to
									transported by		Sewer. Waste
									6,000 gal.		water not
									Wastewater		meeting permit
									Tanker to		requirements
									Renton Decant		consigned to
									station for		vendor for
									disposal to		appropriate
									Sewer.		disposal via
											thermal
											desorption or
											bioremediation.

Task	1996	1997 (Jan-Aug)	1997 (Jan-Dec)	1998 (Jan-Dec)	1999 (Jan-Dec)	2000 (Jan-Dec)	2001 (Jan-Dec) (2002		2003 (Jan-Dec)	2004 (Jan-Dec)	2005 (Jan-Dec)
- reguele treeted weter in vectors and	no	(1997 Report) not yet—	(1998 Report)	(1999 Report) Planting	(2000 Report) To be operated at	(2001 Report) Due to budget	Report) Experimental	Report) Did not use	(2004 Report) Did not use	2005 Report Decant Liquids	2006 Report Did not use
recycle treated water in vactors and street sweepers	no	portable treatment plant purchased, storage tanks not yet constructed (budgeted funds diverted to flood damage—may yet construct for KC govt. use only in Issaquah & Summit areas		operation undergoing tests for DOE approval for three sites Summit, Cadman, and Issaquah	South County station opening in mid 1999.	cuts from I-695 funding for this effort may be lost. Liquids are currently planned to be trucked from these sites to locations where they can be decanted to sewer.	studies were conducted to determine cost and effectiveness of use for recycled water from the wastewater treatment plant in Renton. Water was used in dust control, watering newly planted vegetation, charging Renton's decant settling vault, and use in sweeper trucks.	recycled water from Metro's wastewater treatment plant to recharge Renton Decant Station settling vault. Not cost effective.	recycled water from Metro's wastewater treatment plant to recharge Renton Decant Station settling vault. Not cost effective.	from Star Lake Station, and Cadman and Summit Ponds transported by 6,000 gal. Wastewater Tanker to Renton Decant station for disposal to Sewer.	recycled water from Metro's wastewater treatment plant to recharge Renton Decant Station settling vault. Not cost effective. Will revisit program for application in 2006 due to drought conditions
course for operators required before County facilities can be used		yes		yes	yes	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Did not use recycled water from Metro's wastewater treatment plant to recharge Renton Decant Station settling vault. Not cost effective. Will revisit program for application in 2005 due to drought conditions.	Yes
offer required course through GRCC		yes		no	no	no	No	No	No	Yes	No
Joint venture vactor station in south K.C. (w/WSDOT & cities)				no	no	no	No	Yes. See Star Lake above.	Yes. See Star Lake above.	No	Yes. See Star Lake above.
OBSERVE NPDES INDUSTRIAL STORMWATER PERMITS											
Use BMPs in SWPPPs for operating facilities and mining/filling sites		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Monitor consistent with the permit monitoring plans		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Recycle road maintenance waste material (debris)		yes, as feasible	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Use BMPs as per SWPPPs for storage and handling of road debris		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
PRACTICE INTEGRATED VEGETATION MANAGEMENT											
Implement plan policies in road rights-of-way			yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Develop cost estimates for full implementation of the IVMP	no		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

TASK	1996	1997 (Jan-Aug) (1997 Report)	1997 (Jan-Dec) (1998 Report)	1998 (Jan-Dec) (1999 Report)	1999 (Jan-Dec) (2000 Report)	2000 (Jan-Dec) (2001 Report)	2001 (Jan-Dec) (2002 Report)	2002 (Jan-Dec) (2003 Report)	2003 (Jan-Dec) (2004 Report)	2004 (Jan-Dec) 2005 Report	2005 (Jan-Dec) 2006 Report
Fund the IVMP	no		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
OTHER ACHIEVEMENTS											
Study impacts of road shoulder types to water quality & quantity (Roads, WSDOT, UW)	continuing	done (8 ft porous pavement shoul- der will detain and treat a high percentage of roadway runoff)		Working with regulatory agencies to adopt as BMP		Negotiating as time permits.	Included as part of the BMPCase Studies in the Regional Road Maintenance ESA Program Guidelines	Included as part of the BMPCase Studies in the Regional Road Maintenance ESA Program Guidelines.	Included as part of the BMP Case Studies in the Regional Road Maintenance ESA Program Guidelines.	Included as part of the BMP Case Studies in the Regional Road Maintenance ESA Program Guidelines.	Included as part of the BMP Case Studies in the Regional Road Maintenance ESA Program Guidelines.